arXiv:q-alg/9412015v1 28 Dec 1994

VERTEX–IRF CORRESPONDENCE AND FACTORIZED L-OPERATORS FOR AN ELLIPTIC R-OPERATOR

YOUICHI SHIBUKAWA

Abstract. As for an elliptic R-operator which satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation, the incoming and outgoing intertwining vectors are constructed, and the vertex–IRF correspondence for the elliptic R-operator is obtained. The vertex–IRF correspondence implies that the Boltzmann weights of the IRF model satisfy the star–triangle relation. By means of these intertwining vectors, the factorized L-operators for the elliptic R-operator are also constructed. The vertex–IRF correspondence and the factorized L-operators for Belavin's R-matrix are reproduced from those of the elliptic R-operator.

0. Introduction

In [12, 13, 14] we have introduced an infinite-dimensional R-matrix. It is a new solution of the Yang–Baxter equation. By means of the Fourier transformation of the R-matrix, we defined an R-operator acting on some function space. This R-operator also satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation. Since this operator is deeply linked to analytic properties of an elliptic theta function, we call it the elliptic R-operator.

Date: September 8, 1994

Revised December 26, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 17B37, 81R50, 82B23.

Key words and phrases. elliptic R-operator, vertex–IRF correspondence, intertwining vector, factorized L-operator, Belavin's R-matrix.

We have shown some properties satisfied by the elliptic R-operator, for example, first inversion relation, fusion procedure, etc. For the trigonometric degenerate case of the elliptic R-operator, we proved that the finite-dimensional, trigonometric R-matrices are constructed from the R-operator through restricting the domain of the R-operator to some finite-dimensional subspaces. Recently Felder and Pasquier [4] showed that Belavin's R-matrix [3, 11] can be obtained through restricting the domain of a modified version of the elliptic R-operator to a suitable finite-dimensional subspace.

In [1], Baxter has introduced the intertwining vectors for the eight–vertex model. Jimbo, Miwa and Okado [8] constructed the outgoing intertwining vectors between Belavin's vertex model and the $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ face model. We call this relation the vertex–IRF correspondence for Belavin's R-matrix. Hasegawa [6, 7], Quano and Fujii [10] defined the incoming intertwining vectors which are the dual vectors of the outgoing intertwining vectors. Then they constructed the factorized L-operators for Belavin's R-matrix. The vertex–IRF correspondence plays a central role in their methods.

The aim of this paper is to extend the result above to the elliptic R-operator.

Our strategy to construct factorized L-operators for the elliptic R-operator is as follows. At first we define incoming intertwining vectors $\bar{\phi}_\lambda^\kappa$ of the elliptic R-operator $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ and establish a vertex–IRF correspondence. The vertex–IRF correspondence plays the most important role in this paper. Next we find finite-dimensional subspaces with the following property (cf. Theorem 1.3);

$$\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})(V_k(\xi_1)\otimes V_k(\xi_2+\mu))\subset V_k(\xi_2)\otimes V_k(\xi_1+\mu),$$

where $\xi_{12}:=\xi_1-\xi_2$. Then we define outgoing intertwining vectors $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda}(z)\in V_k(\xi+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}})$, which are the duals of $\bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda}\Big|_{V_k(\xi+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}})}$. Making use of the properties of the incoming and outgoing intertwining vectors, we can easily construct factorized L-operators.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we review the properties of the elliptic R-operator $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ proved in [12, 13, 14, 4]. In Section 2, we shall define incoming intertwining vectors $\bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda}$ and Boltzmann weights of an IRF model $\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \lambda & \xi & \nu \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix}$. Then we have the vertex–IRF correspondence for the elliptic R-operator (Theorem 2.1).

Theorem 0.1 (Vertex–IRF correspondence)**.** *For* $\lambda,\kappa,\nu\in\Lambda$

$$\bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda}\otimes\bar{\phi}^{\nu}_{\kappa}\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)=\sum_{\kappa'\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \lambda & \xi & \nu \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix}\bar{\phi}^{\kappa'}_{\lambda}\otimes\bar{\phi}^{\nu}_{\kappa'}\ .$$

From this theorem, we can show that the Boltzmann weights satisfy the star–triangle relation (Corollary 2.2).

Corollary 0.2. *The Boltzmann weights of the IRF model satisfy the star–triangle relation*;

$$\sum_{\kappa'\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \kappa & \xi_{12} & \gamma \\ & \nu & \end{bmatrix}\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \alpha & \\ \lambda & \xi_{13} & \kappa' \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix}\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \beta & \\ \alpha & \xi_{23} & \gamma \\ & \kappa' & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\sum_{\kappa'\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \lambda & \xi_{23} & \nu \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix}\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \beta & \\ \kappa' & \xi_{13} & \gamma \\ & \nu & \end{bmatrix}\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \alpha & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \beta \\ & \kappa' & \end{bmatrix},$$

for $\lambda, \kappa, \nu, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Lambda$.

This IRF model can be regarded as the limiting case $n \to \infty$ of the $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ face model. In Section 3, making use of the results obtained by Felder and Pasquier [4], we shall construct outgoing intertwining vectors in the same way as [6, 7, 10]. We can consequently define factorized L-operators $\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)$ (Theorem 3.4).

Theorem 0.3 (Factorized L-operator)**.** *For* $\xi_1, \xi_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$

$$(1\otimes\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}))(\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)\otimes 1)(1\otimes\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)) = (\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)\otimes 1)(1\otimes\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1))(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\otimes 1).$$

In the last section, after stating the results obtained by Felder and Pasquier [4] more precisely, we show that the vertex–IRF correspondence and the factorized L-operators for the elliptic R-operator imply those for Belavin's R-matrix.

1. Review of the properties of an elliptic R-operator

In this section, we review the construction and the properties of an elliptic R-operator [4, 12, 13, 14]. We fix $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Im}\ \tau > 0$

and define an open subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \ ; \ |\mathrm{Im\ z}| < \frac{\mathrm{Im}\ \tau}{2}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a space of all functions f holomorphic on D and such that

$$f(z+1) = f(z) \quad \forall z \in D.$$

Similarly let $\mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$ be a space of all functions f holomorphic on $D \times D$ with the property

$$f(z_1+1, z_2) = f(z_1, z_2+1) = f(z_1, z_2) \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in D.$$

Now we define an elliptic R-operator $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ on $\mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$. Let μ be a complex number such that $\mu \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ and let $\vartheta_1(z) = \vartheta_1(z, \tau)$ be an elliptic theta function

$$\vartheta_1(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp[\pi\sqrt{-1}(m+\frac{1}{2})^2\tau + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(m+\frac{1}{2})(z+\frac{1}{2})].$$

The elliptic theta function $\vartheta_1(z)$ satisfies the following properties.

1. $\vartheta_1(z)$ is entire,
2. $\vartheta_1(z+1) = -\vartheta_1(z)$,
3. $\vartheta_1(z+\tau) = -\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}z - \pi\sqrt{-1}\tau)\vartheta_1(z)$,
4. $\vartheta_1(z)$ has simple zeros at $z \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$,
5. $\vartheta_1(z)$ satisfies the three term equation (cf. [15] p.461);

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vartheta_1(x+y)\vartheta_1(x-y)\vartheta_1(z+w)\vartheta_1(z-w) \\
&+ \vartheta_1(x+z)\vartheta_1(x-z)\vartheta_1(w+y)\vartheta_1(w-y) \\
&+ \vartheta_1(x+w)\vartheta_1(x-w)\vartheta_1(y+z)\vartheta_1(y-z) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

6. $\vartheta_1(-z) = -\vartheta_1(z)$.

Definition 1.1 (Elliptic **R**-operator)**.** For $f \in \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$, we define

$$(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1, z_2) := \frac{\vartheta_1(\xi)\vartheta_1(z_{21} - \mu)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(-\mu)\vartheta_1(z_{21})} f(z_2, z_1) + \frac{\vartheta_1(z_{21} - \xi)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(z_{21})} f(z_1, z_2),$$

where $z_{21} := z_2 - z_1$, $\vartheta_1'(0) = \frac{d\vartheta_1}{dz}(0, \tau)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. The complex number ξ is called a spectral parameter.

We set $X = \{(z_1, z_2) \in D \times D\ ;\ z_{21} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By the property (4) of the elliptic theta function $\vartheta_1(z)$, the function $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f$ has the singularities at the points $(z_1, z_2) \in X$. The lemma below tells us that all singularities are removable.

Lemma 1.1. *There is a unique function F holomorphic on $D \times D$ and such that $F(z_1, z_2) = (\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1, z_2)$ for $(z_1, z_2) \in D \times D \backslash X$.*

Proof. For $(z_1, z_2) \in D \times D \backslash X$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1, z_2) \\
=&\frac{\vartheta_1(\xi)\vartheta_1'(0)f(z_2, z_1)}{\vartheta_1(-\mu)} \cdot \frac{\vartheta_1(z_{21} - \mu - m) - \vartheta_1(-\mu)}{z_2 - z_1 - m} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_1 - m}{\vartheta_1(z_{21} - m)} \\
&+\vartheta_1(\xi)\vartheta_1'(0)\frac{f(z_2 - m, z_1) - f(z_1, z_1)}{z_2 - z_1 - m} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_1 - m}{\vartheta_1(z_{21} - m)} \\
&+\vartheta_1'(0)\frac{z_2 - z_1 - m}{\vartheta_1(z_{21} - m)}\{f(z_1, z_1)\frac{\vartheta_1(z_{21} - \xi - m) - \vartheta_1(-\xi)}{z_2 - z_1 - m} \\
&\quad + \vartheta_1(z_{21} - \xi - m)\frac{f(z_1, z_2 - m) - f(z_1, z_1)}{z_2 - z_1 - m}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus there is a function F continuous on $D\times D$ and such that $F(z_1,z_2)=(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1,z_2)$ for $(z_1,z_2)\in D\times D\backslash X$. In fact, we define

$$F(z_1,z_2)$$
$$=\begin{cases}\frac{\vartheta_1(\xi)\vartheta_1'(-\mu)+\vartheta_1'(-\xi)\vartheta_1(-\mu)}{\vartheta_1(-\mu)}f(z,z)+\vartheta_1(\xi)(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z,z)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_2}(z,z)), & (z_1,z_2)=(z,z+m),\\ (\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1,z_2), & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Making use of the Riemann removable singularity theorem (cf. [5]), this function F is holomorphic on $D\times D$. □

We also denote by $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f$ this holomorphic function F. It is easy to see that

$$(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1+1,z_2)=(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1,z_2+1)=(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f)(z_1,z_2)$$

for $(z_1,z_2)\in D\times D$. Hence $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)f\in\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$ for $f\in\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$, and $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ is an operator on $\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$ as a result.

Let $\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$ be a space of all functions f on $D\times D\times D$ and such that

$$f(z_1{+}1,z_2,z_3)=f(z_1,z_2{+}1,z_3)=f(z_1,z_2,z_3{+}1)=f(z_1,z_2,z_3)\quad\forall z_1,z_2,z_3\in D.$$

By the three term equation of $\vartheta_1(z)$ (the property (5)), we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 ([12, 13, 14]). *$\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation on $\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$;*

$$(1\otimes\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}))(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{13})\otimes 1)(1\otimes\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{23}))=(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{23})\otimes 1)(1\otimes\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{13}))(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\otimes 1),\tag{1.1}$$

where $\xi_{ij}=\xi_i-\xi_j$.

For $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ let $V_n(\xi)$ be a space of all functions f holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ and such that

$$f(z+1) = f(z),$$
$$f(z+\tau) = (-1)^n \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi - nz))f(z).$$

It is well known that $V_n(\xi)$ has dimension n. We easily see that

$$\{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{n} \\ \frac{n}{2}\end{bmatrix}(\xi - nz, n\tau)\exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}nz)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}/\ltimes\mathbb{Z}} \tag{1.2}$$

is a basis of $V_n(\xi)$. Here $\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}a\\b\end{smallmatrix}\right](z,\tau)$ is a theta function with rational characteristics;

$$\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}a \\ b\end{bmatrix}(z,\tau) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp[\pi\sqrt{-1}(m+a)^2\tau + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(m+a)(z+b)].$$

In [4] Felder and Pasquier show the following.

Theorem 1.3 ([4])**.** $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})(V_n(\xi_1) \otimes V_n(\xi_2+\mu)) \subset V_n(\xi_2) \otimes V_n(\xi_1+\mu)$.

Remark 1.1. Let $\mathcal{V}^-$ be a space of all functions f holomorphic on D and such that

$$f(z+1) = -f(z).$$

We set $\mathcal{V}^- \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}^-$ and $\mathcal{V}^- \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}^- \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}^-$ in the same way as $\mathcal{V}$. Then we can define the elliptic R-operator $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ on $\mathcal{V}^- \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}^-$, which is the same as in Definition 1.1. It is easy to see that $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ on $\mathcal{V}^- \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}^-$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation (1.1).

We denote $V_n^-(\xi)$ as a space of all functions f holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ and such that

$$f(z+1) = -f(z),$$

$$f(z+\tau) = (-1)^n \exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi - nz + \frac{\tau}{2}) f(z).$$

We have

$$\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})(V_n^-(\xi_1) \otimes V_n^-(\xi_2+\mu)) \subset V_n^-(\xi_2) \otimes V_n^-(\xi_1+\mu).$$

A basis of $V_n^-(\xi)$ is as follows.

$$\{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{n} \\ \frac{n}{2} \end{bmatrix}(\xi - nz, n\tau)\exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}(n+1)z)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}/\ltimes\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Remark 1.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a space of the meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^{\nvDash}$. Then we note that the elliptic R-operator $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ can be regarded as an operator on $\mathcal{M}$ and satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation (1.1).

2. Incoming intertwining vectors and vertex–irf correspondence

In what follows $\mu \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Z}$, and let Λ be a set of sequences $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\lambda_i \in D,$$

$$\lambda_{ij} := \lambda_i - \lambda_j \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\mu \quad \forall \sqsupset \neq \gimel \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We take $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r \notin \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\mu$, and set

$$\eta_i := ir \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $\eta = (\eta_i) \in \Lambda$. Hence, for any μ, the set Λ is not empty. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the sequences $\varepsilon_i = (\delta_{ij})$ $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$, and for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $\lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i$ denote the sequence

$$(\lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i)_j = \begin{cases} \lambda_j, & j \neq i, \\ \lambda_i + \mu, & j = i. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i \in \Lambda$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the definition of Λ.

Definition 2.1 (Boltzmann weight of the IRF model)**.** For $\lambda, \kappa, \kappa', \nu \in \Lambda$, Boltzmann weights $\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \lambda & \xi & \nu \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}$ of an interaction–round–a–face (IRF) model are given as follows (cf. [1, 6, 7, 8, 10]). For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we put

$$\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i & \\ \lambda & \xi & \lambda + 2\mu\varepsilon_i \\ & \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i & \end{bmatrix} := \frac{\vartheta_1(\mu - \xi)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\mu)},$$

$$\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i & \\ \lambda & \xi & \lambda + \mu(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) \\ & \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i & \end{bmatrix} := \frac{\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji} - \xi)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji})} \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_j & \\ \lambda & \xi & \lambda + \mu(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) \\ & \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i & \end{bmatrix} := \frac{\vartheta_1(\xi)\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji} - \mu)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji})\vartheta_1(-\mu)} \quad (i \neq j),$$

otherwise we set

$$\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \lambda & \xi & \nu \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix} := 0.$$

Next we define incoming intertwining vectors of the elliptic R-operator.

Definition 2.2 (Incoming intertwining vector)**.** For $\lambda, \kappa \in \Lambda$, define an incoming intertwining vector $\bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{V}^*$ as follows;

$$\bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda} f := \begin{cases} f(\lambda_i), & \exists i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \kappa = \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The incoming intertwining vectors are the Dirac delta functions essentially. By Definition 1.1 we can get a vertex–IRF correspondence for the elliptic R-operator.

Theorem 2.1 (Vertex–IRF correspondence)**.** *For $\lambda, \kappa, \nu \in \Lambda$*

$$\bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda} \otimes \bar{\phi}^{\nu}_{\kappa} \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi) = \sum_{\kappa' \in \Lambda} \overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \lambda & \xi & \nu \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix} \bar{\phi}^{\kappa'}_{\lambda} \otimes \bar{\phi}^{\nu}_{\kappa'}, \tag{2.1}$$

where both sides are the operators $\mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{C}$.

It is to be noted that, by Definition 2.1 and 2.2, the both sides of equation (2.1) are zero unless there exist $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\kappa =$

$\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i,\ \nu=\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)$. The other cases are as follows.

$$\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+2\mu\varepsilon_i}\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)=\frac{\vartheta_1(\mu-\xi)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\mu)}\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+2\mu\varepsilon_i},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)&=\frac{\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji}-\xi)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji})}\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}\\&\quad+\frac{\vartheta_1(\xi)\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji}-\mu)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ji})\vartheta_1(-\mu)}\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_j}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_j}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)},\end{aligned}$$

for $i\neq j$. Since $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation (1.1), we can show

Corollary 2.2. *The Boltzmann weights of the IRF model satisfy the star–triangle relation*;

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\kappa'\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{W}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}&\kappa'&\\\kappa&\xi_{12}&\gamma\\&\nu&\end{array}\right]\overset{\vee}{W}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}&\alpha&\\\lambda&\xi_{13}&\kappa'\\&\kappa&\end{array}\right]\overset{\vee}{W}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}&\beta&\\\alpha&\xi_{23}&\gamma\\&\kappa'&\end{array}\right]\\&\qquad=\sum_{\kappa'\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{W}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}&\kappa'&\\\lambda&\xi_{23}&\nu\\&\kappa&\end{array}\right]\overset{\vee}{W}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}&\beta&\\\kappa'&\xi_{13}&\gamma\\&\nu&\end{array}\right]\overset{\vee}{W}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}&\alpha&\\\lambda&\xi_{12}&\beta\\&\kappa'&\end{array}\right],\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

for $\lambda,\kappa,\nu,\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Lambda$.

Proof. Unless there exist $i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\kappa=\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i,\ \nu=\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)$ and $\gamma=\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j+\varepsilon_k)$, the both sides of equation (2.2) are zero. Then we assume that

$$\kappa=\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i,\ \nu=\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j),\ \gamma=\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j+\varepsilon_k)\quad(i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}).$$

Moreover the both sides of equation (2.2) are zero unless

$$\alpha = \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i,\ \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_j \text{ or } \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_k$$

and

$$\beta = \lambda + \mu(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j),\ \lambda + \mu(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_k) \text{ or } \lambda + \mu(\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k),$$

so it suffices to show equation (2.2) in each case.

Since $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &((1 \otimes \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}))(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{13}) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{23}))f)(z_1, z_2, z_3) \\ &\qquad = ((\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{23}) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{13}))(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}) \otimes 1)f)(z_1, z_2, z_3). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $z_1 = \lambda_i,\ z_2 = \lambda_j + \mu\delta_{ij}$ and $z_3 = \lambda + \mu(\delta_{ik} + \delta_{jk})$ in the coefficient of $f(z_1, z_2, z_3)$, we obtain equation (2.2) in the case $\alpha = \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i$ and $\beta = \lambda + \mu(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)$. We can prove the other cases in the similar way, so we omit the proof. □

Remark 2.1. We define an incoming intertwining vector $\bar{\phi}_\lambda^\kappa \in (\mathcal{V}^-)^*$ in the same way as Definition 2.2; for $f \in \mathcal{V}^-$,

$$\bar{\phi}_\lambda^\kappa f := \begin{cases} f(\lambda_i), & \exists i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \kappa = \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this case, we also get a vertex–IRF correspondence; for $\lambda, \kappa, \nu \in \Lambda$

$$\bar{\phi}_\lambda^\kappa \otimes \bar{\phi}_\kappa^\nu \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi) = \sum_{\kappa' \in \Lambda} \overset{\vee}{W} \begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \lambda & \xi & \nu \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix} \bar{\phi}_\lambda^{\kappa'} \otimes \bar{\phi}_{\kappa'}^\nu.$$

3. Outgoing intertwining vectors and factorized L-operators

To begin with, we define outgoing intertwining vectors of the elliptic R-operator (cf. [6, 7, 10]).

Let k_1 and k_2 be integers such that $k_1 \leq k_2$, and we set $\mathbf{k} := (\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2})$ and $k = k_2 - k_1 + 1$. For $\lambda, \kappa \in \Lambda$ and $k_1 \leq j \leq k_2$, we define $\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\kappa\, j} \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\kappa\, j} := \bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\kappa}(\vartheta\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j-k_1}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{bmatrix}(\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}} - kz, k\tau)\exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}kz)),$$

where $|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \lambda_i$.

Proposition 3.1. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\xi \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$, the k–by–k matrix $\left(\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i\, j}\right)_{k_1 \leq i,j \leq k_2}$ is invertible.*

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i,\ j}\right)_{k_1 \leq i,j \leq k_2}\\ =&\mathrm{diag}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{k}\lambda_{\mathrm{k}_1}, \dots, \exp \pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{k}\lambda_{\mathrm{k}_2}) \left(\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j-k_1}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{smallmatrix}\right](\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}} - k\lambda_i, k\tau)\right)_{\mathrm{k}_1 \leq \mathrm{i},\mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}_2},\end{aligned}$$

it suffices to prove

$$\det\left(\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j-k_1}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{smallmatrix}\right](\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}} - k\lambda_i, k\tau)\right)_{k_1 \leq i,j \leq k_2} \neq 0.$$

The Weyl–Kac denominator formula for $A_{k-1}^{(1)}$ (cf. [9, 7]) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\det\left(\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{smallmatrix}\right](ku_i, k\tau)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}\\ =&(\sqrt{-1}\eta(\tau))^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-1)(k-2)}\vartheta_1(\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i) \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq k} \vartheta_1(u_{ij}).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\eta(\tau)$ is Dedekind's η–function

$$\eta(\tau) = \exp\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}{12}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}m\tau).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det\left(\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}-\frac{j-k_1}{k}\\ \frac{k}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\xi+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}}-k\lambda_i,k\tau)\right)_{k_1\le i,j\le k_2}\\
=&(-1)^{k-1}\left(\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}-\frac{j}{k}\\ \frac{k}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\xi+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}}-k\lambda_{i+k_1-1},k\tau)\right)_{1\le i,j\le k}\\
=&(-1)^{k-1}(\sqrt{-1}\eta(\tau))^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-1)(k-2)}\vartheta_1(\xi)\prod_{k_1\le i<j\le k_2}\vartheta_1(\lambda_{ij}),
\end{aligned}$$

thereby completing the proof. $\square$

The proposition above says that for $\lambda,\kappa\in\Lambda$, $k_1\le j\le k_2$ and $\xi\notin\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau$, there exist $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda j}\in\mathbb{C}$ which are characterized by the following duality relations;

$$\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}_{\lambda j}\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i\,\ell}_{\lambda}=\delta_{j\ell},\\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2}\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_j\,i}_{\lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell}_{\lambda i}=\delta_{j\ell},\end{cases}\tag{3.1}$$

and for $\kappa\ne\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i$ $(k_1\le\forall i\le k_2)$ we set

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda\ j}:=0.$$

Definition 3.1 (Outgoing intertwining vector)**.** For $\lambda,\kappa\in\Lambda$ and $\xi\notin\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau$, an outgoing intertwining vector $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda}(z)\in V_k(\xi+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}})$ of the elliptic R-operator is defined as follows (cf. (1.2)).

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda}(z):=\sum_{j=k_1}^{k_2}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda j}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}-\frac{j-k_1}{k}\\ \frac{k}{2}\end{bmatrix}(\xi+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}}-kz,k\tau)\exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}kz).$$

Equation (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}(z)\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i} = \mathrm{id} \quad \text{on } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(\xi+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}}), \\ \bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}(\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_j}) = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for } k_1 \leq i, j \leq k_2. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

The outgoing intertwining vectors satisfy the following.

Proposition 3.2. *For* $\lambda, \kappa, \nu \in \Lambda$ *and* $\xi_1, \xi_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau$,

$$(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\kappa}^{\nu})(z_1,z_2) = \sum_{\kappa'\in\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\kappa'}(z_1)\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\kappa'}^{\nu}(z_2)\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \nu \\ & \kappa' & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. By Definition 2.1 and 3.1, it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} &(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)})(z_1,z_2) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=k_1}^{k_2}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell}(z_1)\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda+\varepsilon_\ell}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}(z_2)\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j) \\ & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell & \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $k_1 \leq \forall i, j \leq k_2$. With the aid of Theorem 2.1 and equation (3.2), we obtain for $k_1 \leq \forall a, b \leq k_2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i} \otimes \bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}((\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a} \otimes \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b)})(z_1, z_2)) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=k_1}^{k_2} \overset{\vee}{W} \begin{bmatrix} & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j) \\ & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i & \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times (\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell} \otimes \bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)})(\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a}(z_1) \otimes \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b)}(z_2)) \\
&= \overset{\vee}{W} \begin{bmatrix} & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j) \\ & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i & \end{bmatrix} \delta_{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)\ \lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i,j=k_1}^{k_2} (\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}(z_1) \otimes \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}(z_2)) \\
&\quad \times (\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i} \otimes \bar{\phi}_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)})((\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a} \otimes \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b)})(z_1, z_2)) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=k_1}^{k_2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}(z_1) \otimes \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}(z_2) \\
&\quad \times \overset{\vee}{W} \begin{bmatrix} & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j) \\ & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i & \end{bmatrix} \delta_{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)\ \lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b)} \\
&= \sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}(z_1) \otimes \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b)}(z_2) \overset{\vee}{W} \begin{bmatrix} & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b) \\ & \lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i & \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of Definition 3.1 and Theorem 1.3 we deduce

$$(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a}\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_a}^{\lambda+\mu(\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_b)})(z_1,z_2)\in V_k(\xi_2+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}})\otimes V_k(\xi_1+|\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}}+\mu).$$

From equation (3.2), we are led to the desired result. ☐

For $\lambda,\kappa\in\Lambda$ and $\xi\notin\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau$, we define an operator $\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}:\mathcal{V}\to\mathcal{V}$ by

$$(\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}f)(z):=\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}(z)\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\kappa}f\quad(f\in\mathcal{V}).$$

Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.2 say

Lemma 3.3. *For $\lambda,\nu\in\Lambda$ and $\xi_1,\xi_2\notin\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau$,*

$$\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\kappa}^{\nu}=\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\kappa}^{\nu}\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})$$

on $\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$.

Proof. For $f\in\mathcal{V}\overset{\wedge}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda}(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\kappa}^{\nu}f)(z_1,z_2)\\&=\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda}(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\kappa}^{\nu})(z_1,z_2)\cdot(\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\kappa}^{\nu})f\\&=\sum_{\kappa,\kappa'\in\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\kappa'}(z_1)\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\kappa'}^{\nu}(z_2)\overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix}&\kappa&\\\lambda&\xi_{12}&\nu\\&\kappa'&\end{bmatrix}(\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\kappa}^{\nu})f\\&=\sum_{\kappa'\in\Lambda}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\kappa'}(z_1)\otimes\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\kappa'}^{\nu}(z_2)(\bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\kappa'}\otimes\bar{\phi}_{\kappa'}^{\nu}\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})f)\\&=\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda}(\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)_{\lambda}^{\kappa}\otimes\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)_{\kappa}^{\nu}\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})f)(z_1,z_2).\end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the lemma. ☐

Now we are in the position to construct factorized L-operators for the elliptic R-operator. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a space of all $\mathbb{C}$–valued functions on Λ, and let $\mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{W}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$) be a space of all functions $g : D \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\Lambda \times D \to \mathbb{C}$) such that $g(\cdot, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}$ (resp. $g(\lambda, \cdot) \in \mathcal{V}$) for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We define a factorized L-operator $\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) : \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$ as follows [2, 6, 7, 10]. For $g \in \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{W}$ and $\xi \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$

$$(\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)g)(\lambda, z) := \sum_{\kappa \in \Lambda} (\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda} g(\cdot, \kappa))(z). \tag{3.3}$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we set $\delta^{\lambda} \in \mathcal{W}$ as follows;

$$\delta^{\lambda}(\kappa) = \delta_{\lambda\kappa}.$$

We note that $\mathcal{W} = \prod_{\kappa \in \Lambda} \mathbb{C}\delta^{\kappa}$ (cf. [6]). Then, for $f \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$(\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)(f \otimes \delta^{\kappa}))(\lambda, z) = (\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda} f)(z),$$

and equation (3.3) is hence equivalent to

$$\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)(f \otimes \delta^{\kappa}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \delta^{\lambda} \otimes \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda} f.$$

We define $\mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{W}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$) by a space of all functions $g : D \times D \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\Lambda \times D \times D \to \mathbb{C}$) such that $g(\cdot, \cdot, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$ (resp. $g(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$) for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. By means of Lemma 3.3, we immediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4 (Factorized L-operator)**.** *For* $\xi_1, \xi_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$

$$(1 \otimes \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}))(\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)) = (\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1))(\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}) \otimes 1),$$

where both sides are the operators $\mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}$.

Remark 3.1. In the same way as this section, we can construct factorized L-operators for $\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi)$ on $\mathcal{V}^- \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}^-$ by using $V_n^-(\xi)$ instead of $V_n(\xi)$ (cf. Remark 1.1 and 2.1). In this case, outgoing intertwining vectors are characterized by the following duality relation.

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda\, j}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i\, \ell} = \delta_{j\ell}, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_j\, i} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda\, i}^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell} = \delta_{j\ell}. \end{cases}$$

Here, for $\lambda, \kappa \in \Lambda$, $k_1 \leq j \leq k_2$, we define $\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\kappa\, j} \in \mathbb{C}$ as follows (cf. Remark 1.1).

$$\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)_{\lambda}^{\kappa\, j} := \bar{\phi}_{\lambda}^{\kappa}(\vartheta\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j-k_1}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{bmatrix}(\xi + |\lambda| - kz, k\tau) \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}(k+1)z)).$$

4. Vertex–irf correspondence and factorized L-operators for Belavin's R-matrix

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.1 to the R-matrix obtained through restricting the domain of the elliptic R-operator to some finite-dimensional subspace. Then we will show that the vertex–IRF correspondence for Belavin's R-matrix proved by Baxter [1], Jimbo, Miwa and Okado [8] is obtained from Theorem 2.1. Moreover we will construct the factorized L-operators for Belavin's R-matrix obtained by Hasegawa [6], Quano and Fujii [10]. First let us state the results proved by Felder and Pasquier [4] more precisely.

For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, let $\tilde{V}_k(\xi)$ be a space of entire functions f of one variable such that

$$f(z+1) = (-1)^k f(z),$$
$$f(z+\tau) = (-1)^k \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(kz - \xi + \tfrac{k\tau}{2}))f(z).$$

We note that $\tilde{V}_k(\xi) \subset \mathcal{V}$ if k is even and that $\tilde{V}_k(\xi) \subset \mathcal{V}^-$ if k is odd. In the same fashion as Theorem 1.3 and Remark 1.1, we obtain

$$\overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12})(\tilde{V}_k(\xi_1) \otimes \tilde{V}_k(\xi_2 + \mu)) \subset \tilde{V}_k(\xi_2) \otimes \tilde{V}_k(\xi_1 + \mu).$$

The space $\tilde{V}_k(\xi)$ is of k dimensions and a basis is given by

$$\{e_j(\xi)(z) := \vartheta\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{bmatrix}(\xi - kz, k\tau)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/\neg\mathbb{Z}}.$$

For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, define a translation operator $T_k(\xi)$ on the space of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ [4] by

$$(T_k(\xi)f)(z) := f(z - \frac{\xi}{k}).$$

$T_k(\xi)$ maps isomorphically $\tilde{V}_k := \tilde{V}_k(0)$ onto $\tilde{V}_k(\xi)$. We modify the elliptic R-operator as

$$\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi_{12}) := T_k(\xi_2)^{-1} \otimes T_k(\xi_1 + \mu)^{-1} \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}) T_k(\xi_1) \otimes T_k(\xi_2 + \mu)\Big|_{\tilde{V}_k \otimes \tilde{V}_k}.$$

We note that $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi_{12})$ is determined by the difference ξ_{12}. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}(\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)f)(z_1, z_2) = {} & \frac{\vartheta_1(\xi)\vartheta_1(z_{21} + \frac{\xi+\mu}{k} - \mu)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(-\mu)\vartheta_1(z_{21} + \frac{\xi+\mu}{k})} f(z_2 + \frac{\mu}{k}, z_1 - \frac{\mu}{k}) \\ & + \frac{\vartheta_1(z_{21} + \frac{\xi+\mu}{k} - \xi)\vartheta_1'(0)}{\vartheta_1(z_{21} + \frac{\xi+\mu}{k})} f(z_1 - \frac{\xi}{k}, z_2 + \frac{\xi}{k}).\end{aligned}$$

Felder and Pasquier prove

Theorem 4.1 ([4])**.** $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)$ *preserves* $\tilde{V}_k \otimes \tilde{V}_k$ *and obeys the Yang–Baxter equation* (1.1).

Let $\{e^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/\neg\mathbb{Z}} \subset \tilde{V}_k^*$ be the dual basis of $\{e_j := e_j(0)\} \subset \tilde{V}_k$;

$$e^i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Now we define an operator $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^*$ on $\tilde{V}_k^* \otimes \tilde{V}_k^*$, the transpose of $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)$ on $\tilde{V}_k \otimes \tilde{V}_k$.

$$(\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^* e^\gamma \otimes e^\delta)(e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta) := (e^\delta \otimes e^\gamma)(\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi) e_\beta \otimes e_\alpha).$$

Proposition 4.2 (cf. [4])**.** *The R-matrix* $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^*$ *is Belavin's R-matrix up to constant.*

Proof. Let A and B be operators on the space of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}(Af)(z) &= -f(z + \tfrac{1}{k}),\\ (Bf)(z) &= -\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(z + \tfrac{\tau}{2k}))f(z + \tfrac{\tau}{k}).\end{aligned}$$

The space $\tilde{V}_k$ is invariant under the actions of A and B. In fact, A and B are expressed on $\tilde{V}_k$ as

$$\begin{aligned}Ae_j &= e_j \exp \tfrac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j}{k},\\ Be_j &= e_{j+1}.\end{aligned}$$

We define operators A^* and B^* on $\tilde{V}_k^*$ to be the transposes of A and B on $\tilde{V}_k$, respectively;

$$\begin{aligned}A^* e^j &= e^j \exp \tfrac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j}{k},\\ B^* e^j &= e^{j-1}.\end{aligned}$$

To prove this proposition, it is enough to show the following [3, 6, 7].

1. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^*$ is an entire $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{\mathrm{V}}_\mathrm{k}^* \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{V}}_\mathrm{k}^*)$-valued function in ξ.
2. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^* x \otimes x = x \otimes x \overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^* \quad x = A^*, B^*$.
3. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi + 1)^* = (1 \otimes A^*)^{-1} \overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^* (A^* \otimes 1) \times (-1)$.
4. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi + \tau)^* = (1 \otimes B^*)^{-1} \overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^* (B^* \otimes 1) \times (-\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi + \frac{\tau}{2} - \frac{\mu}{k}))^{-1}$.

5. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(0)^* = \vartheta_1'(0)\,\mathrm{id}$.

The operator $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)$ on $\tilde{V}_k \otimes \tilde{V}_k$ has the properties below, which imply the properties (2), (3), (4), and (5) above, respectively.

2. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi) x \otimes x = x \otimes x \overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi) \quad x = A, B$.
3. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi + 1) = (1 \otimes A)\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)(A \otimes 1)^{-1} \times (-1)$.
4. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi + \tau) = (1 \otimes B)\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)(B \otimes 1)^{-1} \times (-\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi + \frac{\tau}{2} - \frac{\mu}{k}))^{-1}$.
5. $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(0) = \vartheta_1'(0)\,\mathrm{id}$.

The proof is quite straightforward, so we omit it.

To prove (1), it suffices to show that $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)$ is an entire $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{\mathrm{V}}_\mathrm{k} \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{V}}_\mathrm{k})$-valued function in ξ. Let us introduce another basis of $\tilde{V}_k$ (cf. [4]);

$$\{\tilde{e}_j(z) := (-1)^j \vartheta\begin{bmatrix} \frac{k}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{k} \end{bmatrix}(z, \frac{\tau}{k})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/\neg\mathbb{Z}}.$$

In the same way as [4], we can calculate the matrix coefficients of $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)$ on $\tilde{V}_k \otimes \tilde{V}_k$ with respect to the basis $\{\tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{e}_j\}$ and can check that all matrix coefficients are entire in ξ. This completes the proof. □

For $\lambda, \kappa \in \Lambda$, we put $\phi(\xi)^\kappa_\lambda := \bar{\phi}^\lambda_\kappa \circ T_k(\xi + |\lambda|_\mathbf{k} - k\mu)\Big|_{\tilde{V}_k}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\phi}^\lambda_\kappa \circ T_k(\xi + |\lambda|_\mathbf{k} - k\mu)(e_j) \\ &= \begin{cases} \vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{smallmatrix}\right](\xi + |\lambda|_\mathbf{k} - k\lambda_i, k\tau) & \text{if } \kappa = \lambda - \mu\varepsilon_i \ \ (k_1 \leq \exists i \leq k_2), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\xi)_\lambda^\kappa &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\phi}_\kappa^\lambda \circ T_k(\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}} - k\mu)(e_j)e^j \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \vartheta\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{k} \\ \frac{k}{2} \end{bmatrix}(\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}} - k\lambda_i, k\tau)e^j, & \text{if } \kappa = \lambda - \mu\varepsilon_i \ \ (k_1 \leq \exists i \leq k_2), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Hence the vector $\phi(\xi)_\lambda^\kappa$ is nothing but the outgoing intertwining vector of Belavin's R-matrix [6, 7], which was first discovered by Baxter [1], Jimbo, Miwa and Okado [8].

On the other hand, we put

$$\tilde{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa & \\ \lambda & \xi & \nu \\ & \kappa' & \end{bmatrix} := \overset{\vee}{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa' & \\ \nu & \xi & \lambda \\ & \kappa & \end{bmatrix},$$

and then Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.1 lead us to

Theorem 4.3 (Vertex–IRF correspondence for Belavin's R-matrix [1, 8])**.** *For $\lambda, \kappa, \nu \in \Lambda$,*

$$\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi_{12})^* \phi(\xi_1)_\lambda^\kappa \otimes \phi(\xi_2)_\kappa^\nu = \sum_{\kappa' \in \Lambda} \phi(\xi_2)_\lambda^{\kappa'} \otimes \phi(\xi_1)_{\kappa'}^\nu \tilde{W}\begin{bmatrix} & \kappa & \\ \lambda & \xi_{12} & \nu \\ & \kappa' & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next we construct the factorized L-operators for Belavin's R-matrix proved by Hasegawa [6], Quano and Fujii [10]. To begin with, we introduce outgoing intertwining vectors in $\tilde{V}_k(\xi)$ in the same fashion as Definition 3.1. In the sequel, we fix $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k = k_2 - k_1 + 1$ and assume that $\lambda, \kappa, \nu \in \Lambda$ and that $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$.

For $k_1 \le j \le k_2$, we define $\bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa\, j}_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa\, j}_{\lambda} := \bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda}(e_j(\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}})),$$

and also define $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda\, j} \in \mathbb{C}$ by the following duality relations (cf. Proposition 3.1);

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i}_{\lambda\, j} \bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_i\, \ell}_{\lambda} = \delta_{j\ell}, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=k_1}^{k_2} \bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_j\, i}_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\lambda+\mu\varepsilon_\ell}_{\lambda\, i} = \delta_{j\ell}. \end{cases}$$

For $\kappa \ne \lambda + \mu\varepsilon_i$ ($k_1 \le \forall i \le k_2$) we set

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda\ \, j} := 0.$$

Outgoing intertwining vectors $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda}(z) \in \tilde{V}_k(\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}})$ of the elliptic R-operator are defined as

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda}(z) := \sum_{j=k_1}^{k_2} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda\, j} e_j(\xi + |\lambda|_{\mathbf{k}})(z).$$

Then we define the operators $\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda}$ as follows.

$$(\overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda} f)(z) := \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda}(z) \bar{\phi}^{\kappa}_{\lambda} f,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{V}$ if k is even and $f \in \mathcal{V}^{-}$ if k is odd. In the same way as Section 3, these operators satisfy (cf. Lemma 3.3)

$$\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda} \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}) \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)^{\kappa}_{\lambda} \otimes \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)^{\nu}_{\kappa} = \sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda} \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)^{\kappa}_{\lambda} \otimes \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)^{\nu}_{\kappa} \overset{\vee}{R}(\xi_{12}).$$

We put

$$\tilde{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^{\kappa}_{\lambda} := T_k(\xi + |\kappa|_{\mathbf{k}} - k\mu)^{-1} \overset{\vee}{L}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi - k\mu)^{\lambda}_{\kappa} T_k(\xi + |\kappa|_{\mathbf{k}} - k\mu)\Big|_{\tilde{V}_k}$$

and denote its transpose as $\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^\kappa_\lambda : \tilde{V}^*_k \to \tilde{V}^*_k$. Thus, for Belavin's R-matrix $\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi)^*$,

$$\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda}\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi_{12})^*\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)^\kappa_\lambda\otimes\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)^\nu_\kappa=\sum_{\kappa\in\Lambda}\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)^\kappa_\lambda\otimes\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)^\nu_\kappa\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi_{12})^*.$$

We define an operator $\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) : \tilde{V}^*_k\otimes\mathcal{W}\to\mathcal{W}\otimes\tilde{V}^*_k$ by

$$\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)(e^i\otimes\delta^\kappa)=\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\delta^\lambda\otimes\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)^\kappa_\lambda e^i.$$

The theorem below tells us that the operator $\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)$ is the factorized L-operator for Belavin's R-matrix, which were first constructed by Hasegawa [6], Quano and Fujii [10].

Theorem 4.4 (Factorized L-operator for Belavin's R-matrix)**.** *For* $\xi_1,\xi_2\notin\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau$

$$(1\otimes\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi_{12})^*)(\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1)\otimes 1)(1\otimes\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2))=(\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_2)\otimes 1)(1\otimes\tilde{L}^*_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi_1))(\overset{\vee}{R}_k(\xi_{12})^*\otimes 1).$$

Here both sides are the operators $\tilde{V}^*_k\otimes\tilde{V}^*_k\otimes\mathcal{W}\to\mathcal{W}\otimes\tilde{V}^*_k\otimes\tilde{V}^*_k$.

Acknowledgement . The author would like to express his deep gratitude to Professor Kimio Ueno for useful advice, to Professor Takayuki Hibi for constant encouragement, and to Professor Michio Jimbo for pointing him out another proof of Corollary 2.2 which is used in this paper.

References

[1] Baxter, R.: Eight–vertex model in lattice statistics and one–dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain. II. Equivalence to a genaralized ice–type lattice model. Ann. Phys. **76**, 25–47 (1973)

[2] Bazhanov, V. V., Kashaev, R. M., Mangazeev, V. V., Stroganov, Yu. G.: $(\mathbf{Z}_N \times)^{n-1}$ generalization of the chiral Potts model. Commun. Math. Phys. **138**, 393–408 (1991)

[3] Belavin, A. A.: Dynamical symmetry of integrable quantum systems. Nucl. Phys. **B180** [**FS2**], 189–200 (1981)

[4] Felder, G., Pasquier, V.: A simple construction of elliptic R-matrices. Lett. Math. Phys. **32**, 167–171 (1994)

[5] Gunning, R. C., Rossi, H.: Analytic functions of several complex variables. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice–Hall 1965

[6] Hasegawa, K.: Crossing symmetry in elliptic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and a new L-operator for Belavin's solution. J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. **26**, 3211–3228 (1993)

[7] ———: L-operator for Belavin's R-matrix acting on the space of theta functions. J. Math. Phys. **35**(11), 6158–6171 (1994)

[8] Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Okado, M.: Local state probabilities of solvable lattice models: An $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ family. Nucl. Phys. **B300** [**FS22**], 74–108 (1988)

[9] Kac, V. G.: Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, third edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1990

[10] Quano, Y., Fujii, A.: Yang-Baxter equation for broken $Z_N^{\otimes n-1}$ models. Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8**, 1585–1597 (1993)

[11] Richey, M. P., Tracy, C. A.: $\mathbb{Z}_{\ltimes}$ Baxter model: Symmetries and the Belavin parametrization. J. Stat. Phys. **42** 3/4, 311–348 (1986)

[12] Shibukawa, Y., Ueno, K.: Completely $\mathbb{Z}$ symmetric R matrix. Lett. Math. Phys. **25**, 239–248 (1992)

[13] ———: A new solution of the Yang–Baxter equation with complete $\mathbb{Z}$ symmetry. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A (Proc. Suppl.) 3A. Yang, C. N., Ge, M. L., Zhou, X. W. (eds.) Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics. Proceedings, Tianjin 1992, pp. 309–312. Singapore: World Scientific 1993

[14] ______: Infinite-dimensional R matrix with complete $\mathbb{Z}$ symmetry. In: Ge, M. L., de Vega, H. J. (eds.) Quantum groups, integrable statistical models and knot theory. pp. 302–318. Singapore: World Scientific 1993

[15] Whittaker, E. T., Watson, G. N.: A course of modern analysis, fourth edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1927

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060 JAPAN
E-mail address: `shibu@math.hokudai.ac.jp`